

Digital Leadership of School Principals in Optimizing Mobile Learning Applications to Improve Literacy in Elementary Schools

Nur Efendi¹,

¹ Universitas Islam Negeri Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah Tulungagung, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received May 15, 2024

Revised September 12, 2024

Accepted October 20, 2024

Keywords:

Digital Leadership,
Mobile Learning,
Literacy,
Elementary School,
Instructional Innovation,
ICT Integration

ABSTRACT

This study investigates how digital leadership of school principals optimizes the use of mobile learning applications to improve literacy in elementary education. The research employed a qualitative case study design conducted at MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek, involving one principal and six teachers selected purposively. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, classroom observations, and documentation analysis, then analyzed using thematic analysis. The findings indicate that the principal enacted digital leadership through clear vision, structured resource management, and continuous professional support that guided teachers in integrating mobile learning into literacy instruction. Teachers implemented interactive and contextual learning activities using mobile applications for reading, writing, and comprehension tasks. Students demonstrated increased engagement, improved reading comprehension, and stronger writing performance, along with greater motivation in learning activities. The alignment between leadership practices and instructional implementation created a consistent and supportive learning environment. The study concludes that digital leadership significantly contributes to the effectiveness of mobile learning applications in enhancing literacy outcomes in elementary schools.

Corresponding Author:

Nur Efendi, nurefendi2016@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The integration of digital technology in elementary education has reshaped how students access, process, and construct knowledge. Mobile learning applications have emerged as practical tools that enable flexible, interactive, and personalized learning experiences. These applications allow students to engage with texts, multimedia resources, and exercises beyond the limitations of traditional classroom instruction. In the context of literacy development, mobile learning supports reading comprehension, vocabulary acquisition, and writing practice through engaging formats. Despite these opportunities, many elementary schools continue to rely on conventional instructional methods that emphasize passive learning and uniform delivery of content. Such approaches often limit student engagement and fail to address diverse learning needs. The gap between technological potential and classroom practice highlights the need for effective leadership to guide digital transformation. Research indicates that the meaningful integration of digital tools requires not only access to technology but also pedagogical and organizational support within schools (Henderson, Selwyn, & Aston, 2017; Sung, Chang, & Liu, 2016). This situation raises a critical question regarding how digital leadership of school principals can optimize the use of mobile learning applications to improve literacy in elementary schools.

School leadership plays a decisive role in shaping how technology is adopted and implemented within educational environments. Digital leadership refers to the capacity of school leaders to integrate technology

into teaching and learning processes while fostering innovation and collaboration. Principals are expected to act as facilitators who provide strategic direction, allocate resources, and support teachers in adapting to digital practices. The effectiveness of technology integration depends on leadership actions that promote professional learning, encourage experimentation, and create a supportive school culture. Teachers often require guidance and confidence to incorporate mobile learning applications into their instructional practices. Leadership that emphasizes continuous support and shared vision can reduce resistance to change and enhance teachers' readiness to use technology effectively. Studies have shown that leadership focused on digital transformation contributes to improved instructional practices and teacher engagement in technology use (Dexter, 2011; Scherer, Siddiq, & Tondeur, 2019). These considerations lead to an important question regarding how digital leadership is enacted in supporting teachers to integrate mobile learning applications into literacy instruction in meaningful and sustainable ways.

The interaction between leadership and digital learning becomes increasingly relevant when examined through its impact on student outcomes. Literacy development requires active participation, repeated practice, and exposure to diverse forms of text. Mobile learning applications offer features that support these processes through interactive content, immediate feedback, and adaptive learning pathways. Students can access reading materials, complete exercises, and receive feedback in ways that enhance engagement and motivation. The use of digital tools also enables differentiated instruction, allowing students to learn at their own pace and according to their individual needs. Research suggests that mobile learning can improve academic performance and student engagement when it is integrated effectively into classroom practice (Traxler, 2018). The potential of mobile learning to enhance literacy depends on how it is implemented and supported within the school context. Leadership-driven digital learning implementation plays a central role in ensuring that mobile learning applications are used effectively. Principals who actively engage in guiding instructional practices can create coherence between technology use and learning objectives. This coherence supports teachers in designing lessons that integrate digital tools with pedagogical goals. Leadership also influences the availability of resources, training opportunities, and technical support, which are essential for sustaining digital innovation. Schools that demonstrate strong leadership in technology integration tend to create environments where teachers and students are more confident in using digital tools. Evidence indicates that leadership practices that align technology with instructional goals have a significant impact on student learning outcomes (Liu, Ritzhaupt, Dawson, & Barron, 2017).

The implementation of mobile learning applications also reflects broader changes in teaching and learning paradigms. Traditional instruction often positions students as passive recipients of information, while digital learning encourages active exploration and interaction. Mobile applications enable students to engage with content through multimedia, collaboration, and problem-solving activities. These experiences support the development of higher-order thinking skills and independent learning habits. Teachers are required to shift from delivering content to facilitating learning processes that involve technology. Leadership becomes essential in supporting this transition by providing direction, resources, and continuous encouragement. The success of digital learning initiatives depends on the ability of school leaders to bridge the gap between technological innovation and classroom practice.

The focus on literacy development in elementary education highlights the importance of early learning experiences in shaping students' academic trajectories. Literacy skills form the foundation for learning across subjects and influence students' ability to engage with complex information. Mobile learning applications offer opportunities to enrich literacy instruction by providing diverse texts, interactive activities, and immediate feedback. These features can enhance students' motivation and participation in learning. The role of leadership is crucial in ensuring that these tools are used effectively to support learning objectives. Digital leadership provides a framework for aligning technology use with educational goals and fostering a culture of innovation within schools.

This study aims to explore how digital leadership of school principals supports the optimization of mobile learning applications to improve literacy in an elementary school context. The research focuses on MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek as a case that reflects the interaction between leadership practices and digital learning implementation. The study addresses three key questions related to leadership practices, instructional implementation, and student outcomes. These questions guide the analysis of how digital leadership influences the integration of mobile learning applications and its impact on literacy development. The findings are expected to contribute to a deeper understanding of how leadership can support digital transformation and improve the quality of learning in elementary education.

2. METHOD

This study employed a qualitative case study design to examine digital leadership practices and the implementation of mobile learning applications within a natural school context. The qualitative approach enabled an in-depth understanding of participants' experiences, perceptions, and interactions related to technology integration in literacy instruction. The case study design focused on a single institutional setting to

capture the complexity of leadership practices and classroom dynamics in real conditions. The research was conducted at MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek, an elementary school that has integrated mobile learning applications into its instructional activities. This setting provided a relevant context for exploring how leadership influences digital learning practices and literacy development. Case study methodology is suitable for investigating contemporary phenomena within real-life contexts where boundaries between the phenomenon and context are not clearly defined (Flyvbjerg, 2011).

Participants in this study consisted of one school principal and six classroom teachers who were actively involved in mobile learning implementation. A purposive sampling strategy was applied to ensure that participants had direct experience with digital learning practices. The principal was selected due to their central role in leading technology integration and shaping school policy, while teachers were chosen based on their engagement in using mobile learning applications in literacy instruction. This selection allowed the study to capture diverse perspectives related to leadership, pedagogy, and classroom implementation. Qualitative sampling emphasizes the richness of information and relevance of participants rather than representativeness (Palinkas et al., 2015).

Data collection was conducted using multiple techniques to ensure comprehensive and credible findings. Semi-structured interviews were used to explore participants' views on leadership practices, technology use, and literacy learning. Classroom observations provided direct evidence of how mobile learning applications were integrated into teaching and how students engaged with digital tools. Documentation analysis included lesson plans, student assignments, and digital learning records, which offered additional insights into instructional practices and learning outcomes. The combination of these data sources enabled a deeper understanding of the relationship between leadership and digital learning. The use of multiple methods supports data triangulation, which enhances the validity of qualitative research findings (Carter, Bryant-Lukosius, DiCenso, Blythe, & Neville, 2014).

Data analysis followed a thematic analysis approach involving systematic coding, categorization, and interpretation. All collected data were transcribed and reviewed repeatedly to identify patterns related to leadership practices and mobile learning implementation. Codes were organized into themes that reflected key aspects of digital leadership and literacy instruction. Interpretation focused on connecting empirical findings with relevant theoretical perspectives. To ensure trustworthiness, the study applied triangulation across data sources and conducted member checking by sharing findings with participants for validation. These procedures strengthened the credibility and dependability of the research results

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Digital Leadership Practices in Supporting Mobile Learning

The findings show that the principal at MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek enacted digital leadership through a clear and consistent direction toward integrating technology into literacy instruction. This direction was embedded in school planning and communicated through staff meetings, classroom supervision, and informal interactions. Teachers described the vision as practical and aligned with daily teaching needs, which helped them translate expectations into classroom action. The principal emphasized the use of mobile learning applications as tools to enrich reading and writing activities, not as separate add-ons. This alignment between vision and practice supported coherence in instructional design and reduced ambiguity during implementation. Research on digital leadership indicates that clear goal setting and consistent communication strengthen technology integration and teacher engagement (Sheninger, 2019; Tondeur, van Braak, Ertmer, & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2017).

Leadership practices included structured access to digital resources that enabled teachers to implement mobile learning in literacy lessons. The principal coordinated device availability, internet access, and application selection to ensure that teachers could use technology effectively. Teachers reported that resource provision reduced barriers related to infrastructure and allowed them to focus on instructional design. The school also curated a set of recommended applications for reading comprehension, vocabulary practice, and writing exercises. This curation helped maintain quality and alignment with learning objectives. Studies have shown that access to appropriate resources, combined with leadership support, increases the likelihood of successful technology integration in classrooms (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010).

Professional development was facilitated through targeted training sessions and ongoing support. The principal organized workshops on using mobile applications, designing digital literacy tasks, and managing classroom interaction with devices. Teachers engaged in hands-on activities that allowed them to practice using applications and adapt them to their lesson plans. Follow-up sessions provided opportunities to reflect on challenges and refine strategies. Teachers noted that continuous training strengthened their confidence and reduced anxiety in using digital tools. The role of leadership in organizing sustained professional learning has been identified as a key factor in developing teachers' digital competence (Koehler, Mishra, & Cain, 2013).

Collaboration among teachers emerged as a central element in the implementation process. The principal encouraged peer interaction through lesson study, sharing sessions, and joint planning of literacy activities using mobile applications. Teachers exchanged experiences related to application features, student engagement, and assessment practices. This collaborative environment supported collective problem-solving and innovation. Teachers reported that collaboration helped them discover new ways of integrating technology and improved the quality of their lessons. Professional collaboration is known to enhance instructional practices by allowing teachers to learn from one another and build shared expertise (Trust, Krutka, & Carpenter, 2016).

The principal also supported experimentation and adaptation in the use of mobile learning applications. Teachers were encouraged to try different tools and modify their use based on student needs. Classroom observations indicated that teachers adapted applications to match students' reading levels and learning preferences. Some teachers combined digital reading tasks with offline activities such as discussion and writing exercises to create balanced learning experiences. This flexibility allowed teachers to maintain pedagogical control while benefiting from digital tools. Leadership that supports experimentation contributes to innovation and continuous improvement in teaching practices (Fullan & Quinn, 2016).

Teachers reported increased confidence in using technology as part of their instructional practice. Interviews revealed that initial hesitation decreased as teachers gained experience and received support from the principal. They expressed a sense of ownership over their use of mobile learning applications and demonstrated willingness to explore new strategies. This change in attitude reflects the influence of leadership on teacher beliefs and motivation. When teachers perceive leadership as supportive and responsive, they are more likely to engage in instructional innovation. Research suggests that teacher confidence and self-efficacy are closely linked to leadership practices that provide encouragement and professional support (Hatlevik & Hatlevik, 2018).

The supportive environment created by digital leadership extended to classroom culture. Students were guided in using mobile devices responsibly and productively. Teachers established routines for accessing applications, completing tasks, and reflecting on learning outcomes. This structure ensured that technology use remained focused on learning objectives. The principal monitored implementation through classroom visits and discussions with teachers, which reinforced accountability and consistency. The presence of structured guidance contributed to effective classroom management and meaningful use of digital tools.

The discussion highlights that digital leadership functions as a critical driver in aligning technology integration with literacy instruction. The principal's role extended beyond providing resources to shaping instructional practices and supporting teacher development. Leadership actions that combined vision, resource management, professional learning, and collaboration created conditions for effective use of mobile learning applications. These conditions enabled teachers to integrate technology in ways that enhanced student engagement and literacy development.

The findings suggest that successful digital transformation in elementary education depends on coherent leadership practices that connect policy, pedagogy, and technology. The principal's leadership ensured that mobile learning applications were used as tools to support learning rather than as isolated innovations. The interaction between leadership and teacher collaboration strengthened the school's capacity to sustain digital practices. This perspective aligns with research indicating that leadership influences student outcomes through its impact on teaching quality and instructional coherence (Tondeur et al., 2017). The case of MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek illustrates how digital leadership can create a learning environment that supports innovation and improves literacy instruction.

Implementation of Mobile Learning Applications in Literacy Instruction

The implementation of mobile learning applications at MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek was embedded in daily literacy instruction through structured yet flexible classroom practices. Teachers integrated digital tools into reading, writing, and vocabulary activities by selecting applications that provide graded texts, audio support, and interactive exercises. Students accessed digital stories, short passages, and comprehension tasks through mobile devices during class time and, in some cases, at home. This integration enabled continuity of learning beyond the classroom and allowed students to revisit materials at their own pace. The design of learning activities combined multimedia elements such as images, audio narration, and animations, which supported diverse learning preferences and improved comprehension. Evidence from mobile learning research shows that multimedia-rich environments can enhance students' engagement and understanding when aligned with clear instructional goals (Mayer, 2009; Crompton & Burke, 2018).

Teachers organized lessons around literacy objectives while embedding mobile applications as core learning tools rather than supplementary resources. Reading activities involved guided exploration of digital texts followed by comprehension questions delivered through interactive quizzes. Writing tasks required students to respond to prompts, summarize content, and compose short paragraphs using application features that support editing and feedback. These practices created opportunities for students to engage with texts in multiple formats and receive immediate responses to their work. The availability of instant feedback supported

students in identifying errors and improving their performance. Studies indicate that timely feedback in digital environments contributes to better learning outcomes and supports the development of literacy skills (Hattie & Timperley, 2007).

Teachers acted as facilitators who guided students in navigating applications and using digital features effectively. Classroom observations showed that teachers provided initial demonstrations, set clear instructions, and monitored student progress during activities. They intervened when students encountered difficulties and encouraged peer support within groups. This facilitative role shifted the focus from direct instruction to guided exploration, allowing students to take an active role in their learning. Teachers balanced autonomy with structure by establishing routines for device use, task completion, and reflection. Such facilitation is consistent with learner-centered approaches that emphasize scaffolding and gradual release of responsibility (Weston & Bain, 2010).

Learning materials were adapted to match students' proficiency levels and interests, which increased engagement and participation. Teachers selected texts that reflected familiar contexts and adjusted task complexity based on students' abilities. Some applications offered differentiated levels of reading materials, enabling teachers to assign tasks that suit individual learners. Students with higher proficiency engaged with more complex texts, while others focused on foundational skills such as vocabulary recognition and sentence construction. This differentiation allowed all students to participate meaningfully in learning activities. Research suggests that adaptive learning environments supported by digital tools can address diverse learner needs and improve literacy development (Kucirkova, 2014).

Student participation increased as a result of interactive features embedded in mobile applications. Students responded to quizzes, completed drag-and-drop exercises, and engaged in collaborative tasks using shared digital platforms. Classroom interaction became more dynamic, with students discussing answers, comparing results, and assisting peers. The use of gamified elements such as points and rewards further enhanced motivation and sustained attention during lessons. Students demonstrated enthusiasm when completing tasks and showed persistence in revising their work. Engagement in digital learning environments is often associated with increased motivation and active participation, which are essential for effective literacy instruction (Deterding, Dixon, Khaled, & Nacke, 2011).

The integration of mobile learning also supported independent learning practices. Students were able to access materials outside classroom hours, review lessons, and complete assignments at their own pace. Teachers encouraged students to explore additional resources within applications, which promoted self-directed learning habits. Students developed the ability to manage their time, select tasks, and monitor their progress. This autonomy contributed to the development of self-regulated learning skills, which are important for long-term academic success. Digital environments that provide flexible access to learning resources have been shown to support independent learning and improve student outcomes (Broadbent & Poon, 2015).

Assessment practices were aligned with the use of mobile learning applications by incorporating both formative and performance-based approaches. Teachers used application-generated data such as quiz scores, completion rates, and activity logs to monitor student progress. This data informed instructional decisions and allowed teachers to provide targeted support. Students also engaged in reflective activities, reviewing their performance and identifying areas for improvement. The integration of assessment within digital platforms provided a comprehensive view of student learning and enabled continuous feedback. Technology-enhanced assessment is recognized as an effective approach for capturing learning processes and outcomes in real time (Redecker & Johannessen, 2013).

The implementation process highlighted the importance of balancing digital and pedagogical considerations. Teachers combined mobile learning with discussion, writing exercises, and collaborative activities to ensure that technology use remained purposeful. Digital tools were integrated into broader instructional strategies rather than used in isolation. This balance maintained focus on literacy objectives while leveraging the benefits of technology. The findings suggest that effective implementation requires alignment between application features, instructional design, and learning goals.

The discussion indicates that mobile learning applications can enhance literacy instruction when supported by thoughtful pedagogical practices. Teachers at MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek demonstrated the ability to design engaging and meaningful learning experiences that integrate digital tools with literacy objectives. Their role as facilitators enabled students to participate actively and develop both skills and motivation. The combination of multimedia resources, interactive features, and adaptive tasks created a learning environment that supports literacy development in a comprehensive manner.

Impact on Students' Literacy Development

The findings indicate that students at MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek experienced measurable improvement in literacy as mobile learning applications became part of daily instruction. Students demonstrated stronger reading comprehension through their ability to identify main ideas, infer meaning from

short texts, and connect information across paragraphs. They engaged with digital passages that included audio support and visual cues, which assisted decoding and comprehension, particularly for emerging readers. Writing outcomes also improved, with students producing clearer sentences, better organization of ideas, and more accurate use of basic grammar. Classroom artifacts such as digital worksheets and short compositions showed progression in coherence and vocabulary use. These improvements reflect the benefits of structured exposure to varied texts and repeated practice within interactive environments. Evidence suggests that sustained reading practice supported by digital tools contributes to gains in comprehension and writing fluency (Graham, Hebert, & Harris, 2015; Neumann, 2018).

Classroom observations revealed increased engagement and confidence during literacy activities. Students participated actively in reading tasks, responded to comprehension questions, and volunteered to present their ideas. Interactive features such as quizzes, audio narration, and instant feedback maintained attention and encouraged persistence. Students who were previously reluctant to read aloud became more willing to engage when supported by multimedia elements. Confidence grew as students received immediate confirmation of correct responses and constructive prompts for revision. Engagement is closely linked to learning outcomes, as students who are attentive and motivated are more likely to process information deeply and retain knowledge (Fredricks, Blumenfeld, & Paris, 2004).

Mobile learning applications also supported independent learning by providing flexible access to materials. Students were able to review texts, repeat exercises, and complete assignments outside classroom hours. This access allowed them to learn at their own pace and revisit challenging content without time constraints. Teachers reported that students who practiced independently showed better retention and improved performance in subsequent tasks. The availability of on-demand resources encouraged students to take initiative and manage their own learning activities. Research on mobile-assisted language learning indicates that flexibility and accessibility enhance practice opportunities and support skill development (Burston, 2015).

Motivation increased as students interacted with gamified features embedded in applications, including points, badges, and progress indicators. These elements provided a sense of achievement and encouraged continuous participation. Students demonstrated persistence in completing tasks and revising their work to achieve better results. Motivation was also influenced by the relevance of content, as texts and tasks were aligned with students' interests and experiences. Intrinsic motivation plays a crucial role in literacy development, as students who find learning meaningful are more likely to invest effort and sustain engagement (Guthrie, Klauda, & Ho, 2013).

The alignment between leadership support and instructional practices contributed significantly to improved literacy outcomes. The principal ensured consistent use of mobile learning through guidance, monitoring, and resource provision. Teachers applied structured approaches that integrated digital tools with literacy objectives, which created coherence across classrooms. This alignment reduced variability in implementation and ensured that students experienced consistent learning opportunities. Studies on school improvement indicate that coherence between leadership and instruction strengthens the impact of teaching practices on student achievement (Bryk, Gomez, Grunow, & LeMahieu, 2015).

The use of application-generated data further supported literacy development by informing instructional decisions. Teachers monitored quiz results, reading progress, and writing outputs to identify areas where students required additional support. This data-driven approach enabled targeted intervention and personalized feedback. Students benefited from feedback that was timely and specific, which supported improvement in both reading and writing. Technology-enhanced assessment provides actionable insights that can improve learning outcomes when used systematically (Bennett, 2011).

Collaborative learning also contributed to literacy development within digital environments. Students worked in pairs or small groups to discuss texts, compare answers, and assist peers in completing tasks. These interactions supported comprehension and encouraged the exchange of ideas. Social engagement strengthened understanding, as students articulated their thoughts and responded to others' perspectives. Peer interaction is recognized as a factor that enhances literacy skills through dialogue and shared meaning-making (Mercer & Littleton, 2007).

The findings indicate that mobile learning applications, when implemented within a supportive leadership and instructional framework, can enhance literacy development in elementary education. Students improved in reading comprehension and writing skills, demonstrated higher engagement, and developed independent learning habits. The combination of interactive content, flexible access, and structured guidance created an environment that supports continuous practice and improvement. The case of MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek illustrates that digital learning, supported by effective leadership, can produce meaningful gains in literacy outcomes.

4. CONCLUSION

Digital leadership plays a central role in shaping the successful integration of mobile learning applications in elementary education. The principal at MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek

demonstrated the ability to align vision, resources, and instructional practices in a coherent manner that supports literacy development. Leadership actions such as facilitating access to digital tools, organizing professional learning, and encouraging collaboration among teachers contributed to the creation of a supportive environment for instructional innovation. Teachers developed confidence in using mobile applications and adapted their teaching strategies to integrate digital tools into literacy instruction. This alignment between leadership direction and classroom practice strengthened the consistency and quality of learning experiences provided to students.

The implementation of mobile learning applications led to meaningful improvements in students' literacy development. Students showed better reading comprehension, clearer writing skills, and increased engagement in learning activities. Interactive features, flexible access to learning materials, and opportunities for independent practice supported students in developing both skills and motivation. The learning environment encouraged active participation and self-regulated learning, which are essential for long-term academic development. The study highlights that the effectiveness of digital learning depends on the integration of leadership, pedagogy, and technology. The case of MI GUPPI Tasikmadu Watulimo Trenggalek demonstrates that strong digital leadership can sustain instructional innovation and improve student outcomes. Future initiatives should focus on strengthening teachers' digital competencies, expanding access to learning technologies, and maintaining alignment between leadership strategies and instructional practices to support continuous improvement in elementary education.

REFERENCES

- Bennett, R. E. (2011). Formative assessment: A critical review. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 18(1), 5–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2010.513678>
- Broadbent, J., & Poon, W. L. (2015). Self-regulated learning strategies and academic achievement in online higher education learning environments: A systematic review. *Internet and Higher Education*, 27, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2015.04.007>
- Bryk, A. S., Gomez, L. M., Grunow, A., & LeMahieu, P. G. (2015). *Learning to improve: How America's schools can get better at getting better*. Harvard Education Press.
- Burston, J. (2015). Twenty years of MALL project implementation: A meta-analysis of learning outcomes. *ReCALL*, 27(1), 4–20. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344014000159>
- Carter, N., Bryant-Lukosius, D., DiCenso, A., Blythe, J., & Neville, A. J. (2014). The use of triangulation in qualitative research. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 41(5), 545–547. <https://doi.org/10.1188/14.ONF.545-547>
- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2018). The use of mobile learning in higher education: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, 123, 53–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.04.007>
- Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining gamification. *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference*, 9–15. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040>
- Dexter, S. (2011). School technology leadership: Artifacts in systems of practice. *Journal of School Leadership*, 21(2), 166–189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105268461102100201>
- Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255–284. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2010.10782551>
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2011). Case study. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (4th ed., pp. 301–316). Sage Publications.
- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59–109. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074001059>
- Fullan, M., & Quinn, J. (2016). *Coherence: The right drivers in action for schools, districts, and systems*. Corwin Press.
- Graham, S., Hebert, M., & Harris, K. R. (2015). Formative assessment and writing: A meta-analysis. *The Elementary School Journal*, 115(4), 523–547. <https://doi.org/10.1086/681947>
- Guthrie, J. T., Klauda, S. L., & Ho, A. N. (2013). Modeling the relationships among reading instruction, motivation, engagement, and achievement. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 48(1), 9–26. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.035>
- Hatlevik, O. E., & Hatlevik, I. K. R. (2018). Examining the relationship between teachers' ICT self-efficacy for educational purposes, collegial collaboration, lack of facilitation, and the use of ICT in teaching practice. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 935. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00935>
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>
- Henderson, M., Selwyn, N., & Aston, R. (2017). What works and why? Student perceptions of 'useful' digital technology in university teaching and learning. *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(8), 1567–1579. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2015.1007946>
- Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., & Cain, W. (2013). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Journal of Education*, 193(3), 13–19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002205741319300303>
- Kucirkova, N. (2014). iPads in early education: Separating assumptions and evidence. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, 715. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00715>
- Liu, F., Ritzhaupt, A. D., Dawson, K., & Barron, A. E. (2017). Explaining technology integration in K–12 classrooms: A multilevel path analysis model. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 65(4), 795–813. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9487-9>
- Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Mercer, N., & Littleton, K. (2007). *Dialogue and the development of children's thinking*. Routledge.
- Neumann, M. M. (2018). Using tablets and apps to enhance emergent literacy skills in young children. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 42, 239–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2017.10.008>
- Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental*

- Health Services Research, 42(5), 533–544. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y>
- Redecker, C., & Johannessen, Ø. (2013). Changing assessment—Towards a new assessment paradigm using ICT. *European Journal of Education*, 48(1), 79–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12018>
- Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., & Tondeur, J. (2019). The technology acceptance model (TAM): A meta-analytic structural equation modeling approach to explaining teachers' adoption of digital technology in education. *Computers & Education*, 128, 13–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.009>
- Sheninger, E. (2019). *Digital leadership: Changing paradigms for changing times* (2nd ed.). Corwin Press.
- Sung, Y. T., Chang, K. E., & Liu, T. C. (2016). The effects of integrating mobile devices with teaching and learning on students' learning performance: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 94, 252–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.11.008>
- Tondeur, J., van Braak, J., Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. (2017). Understanding the relationship between teachers' pedagogical beliefs and technology use in education: A systematic review of qualitative evidence. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 65(3), 555–575. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9481-2>
- Traxler, J. (2018). Learning with mobiles in developing countries—Technology, language, and literacy. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 10(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJMBL.2018040101>
- Trust, T., Krutka, D. G., & Carpenter, J. P. (2016). “Together we are better”: Professional learning networks for teachers. *Computers & Education*, 102, 15–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.06.007>
- Weston, M. E., & Bain, A. (2010). The end of techno-critique: The naked truth about 1:1 laptop initiatives and educational change. *The Journal of Technology, Learning and Assessment*, 9(6), 1–25.
-